

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 2046.3

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

MAR 1 1 2004

Kirk L. Jowers, Esq. Caplin & Drysdale One Thomas Circle, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

RE: MUR 5379

CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc.

Dear Mr. Jowers:

On July 30, 2003, the Federal Election Commission notified your client, CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc., of a complaint (MUR 5379) alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your client at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, and information provided by your client, the Commission, on February 13, 2004, found that there is reason to believe CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc. violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which formed a basis for the Commission's finding, is attached for your information.

You may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the Commission's consideration of this matter. Statements should be submitted under oath.

In the absence of additional information, the Commission may find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred and proceed with conciliation.

If you are interested in pursuing pre-probable cause conciliation, you should so request in writing. See 11 C.F.R. § 111.18(d). Upon receipt of the request, the Office of the General Counsel will make recommendations to the Commission either proposing an agreement in settlement of the matter or recommending declining that pre-probable cause conciliation be pursued. The Office of the General Counsel may recommend that pre-probable cause conciliation not be entered into at this time so that it may complete its investigation of the matter. Further, the Commission will not entertain requests for pre-probable cause conciliation after briefs on probable cause have been mailed to the respondent.

Requests for extensions of time will not be routinely granted. Requests must be made in writing at least five days prior to the due date of the response and specific good cause must be demonstrated. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A), unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the investigation to be made public.

If you have any questions, please contact Jack Gould or Renee Salzmann, the attorneys assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Bradley A. Smith

Chairman

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2	
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4 5	RESPONDENT: CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc. MUR: 5379
6	ACCIONALIATE CAROLING MONIONS, MC.
7	
8	I. GENERATION OF MATTER
9	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by
10	Ryan Hampton, Financial Director, Peter Deutsch for Senate. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).
11	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
12	A. Facts
13	The complaint, citing to an article published in The Miami Herald on March 30, 2003,
14	alleges that employees and vendors of CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc. ("CarePlus") were
15	solicited in an illegally coercive manner to make contributions to Alex Penelas' U.S. Senate
16	campaign committee ("Penelas Committee"). Michael B. Fernandez is owner and chief
17	executive officer of CarePlus. Mr. Fernandez reportedly instructed Heriberto Valdes, Vice
18	President of CarePlus, to collect contributions from CarePlus employees for Mayor Penelas'
19	Senate campaign. Jim DeFede, Fundraising effort raises concerns, The Miami Herald, March
20	30, 2003. Mr. Valdes allegedly sent an email, which states in pertinent part:
21	The following is directed to all physicians and executive level staff at
22	CarePlus Medical Centers Inc. Mr. Michael B. Fernandez, owner and
23	Chief Executive Officer of CarePlus Medical Centers Inc. is asking for
24	your help. Alex Penelas, Dade County Mayor and strong supporter of
25	Mike Fernandez and CarePlus Medical Centers is running for United
26	States Senate. * * * Mr. Mike Fernandez is asking each of you for a
27	\$1,000.00 campaign contribution for the Alex Penelas for Senate Campaign. The deadline for this contribution is Friday the 28 th . He has
28 29	asked for an accounting of the individuals that donate and those that did
30	not. He will be contacting the individuals that donate to thank you
	-

MUR 5379
Factual & Legal Analysis
CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc.

personally. ** * As painful as this may seem, it will not be any easier tomorrow so pull out your checkbooks and write the check today to the order of Alex Penelas for Senate and the check must be dated April 2, 2003 or later. All physicians, large vendors and executive level staff will be expected to donate. The center administrator has been assigned to collect and submit the contributions to Ed Rubio, Director of Operations.

On April 1, 2003, two days after The Miami Herald's article was published, Mr.

Fernandez sent an email to the medical center administrators. In his email, Mr. Fernandez told his employees that he did not authorize the email requesting contributions to Mayor Penelas' Senate campaign, that the email should be disregarded in its entirety, and that any contributions received as a result of the email should be returned. Mr. Fernandez's email further stated that he intended to hold a fundraiser for Mayor Penelas and that anyone interested may contribute at that time. The email concluded, "You may refuse to contribute without reprisal and contributions to Penelas for Senate or any other candidate committee are strictly voluntary."

A week after Mr. Fernandez sent his email, The Miami Herald reported that "employees who were pressured to write checks for Alex Penelas' Senate campaign were given those checks back." Jim DeFede, Was helping hand from strong arm? The Miami Herald, April 8, 2003. One CarePlus employee reportedly told The Miami Herald, "To tell me that a list was going to be kept, and that we are going to know who did and did not contribute, it was just too much strongarming for me." Id.

Reportedly, this was not the first time that CarePlus employees were pressured to make contributions to a candidate supported by Mr. Fernandez. According to The Miami Herald, in April of 2002, Mr. Fernandez solicited CarePlus employees for contributions to Senator Bill Nelson's campaign committee. The letter Mr. Fernandez sent to company employees reportedly stated, "this is not a requirement, but a request." *Id.* Yet, according to The Miami Herald, "[t]wo

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MUR 5379
Factual & Legal Analysis
CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc.

- 1 employees who received the letter said despite the language that this was only a 'request,'
- 2 supervisors at the clinics told them they were expected to donate." Id. One employee stated,
- 3 "The administrator told me that a list was going to be kept of who did and did not contribute
- 4 because Michael [Fernandez] had asked for a list." Id.
- 5 On May 8, 2003, Mr. Fernandez held a fundraiser for the Penelas Committee. Thirty-
- 6 three physicians and executive level employees of CarePlus and CarePlus Health Plans made
- 7 contributions to the Penelas Committee, ranging from \$250 to \$2,000. In addition, ten "Benefits
- 8 Consultants" contributed \$200 each and one gave \$100 to the Penelas Committee.

B. <u>Law and Analysis</u>

Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act"), corporations are permitted to communicate to their stockholders and executive or administrative personnel and their families on any subject. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(2)(A). Such communications can include a solicitation or suggestion that they contribute to a particular candidate, "so long as the corporation limits its activity to communication only and does not actually facilitate the making of the member's contribution to the candidate." Advisory Opinion 1987-29 (citing 11 C.F.R. § 114.3).

The Commission's regulations define "facilitation" as "using corporate . . . resources or

- facilities to engage in fundraising activities in connection with any federal election "
- 19 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f)(1). The Act's broad prohibition on corporate contributions (2 U.S.C.
- 20 § 441b(a)) extends to "anything of value" given to any candidate in connection with any federal
- election. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(2). When a corporation fundraises for a federal candidate and the

Twenty employees made \$1,000 contributions, six gave \$2,000, and seven gave \$500 or less.

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MUR 5379 Factual & Legal Analysis CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc.

- campaign accepts contributions collected by the corporation, the committee has accepted
- 2 something of value from that corporation. See MUR 3987 (Friends of Jane Harman)
- 3 (Commission found probable cause to believe that Committee violated section 441b(a) by
- 4 accepting contributions that were solicited, collected, and forwarded by corporate employees).

5 Facilitation can also involve "[u]sing coercion, such as the threat of a detrimental job

action, the threat of any other financial reprisal, or the threat of force, to urge any individual to

make a contribution or engage in fundraising activities on behalf of a candidate or political

8 committee." 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f)(2)(iv).

The Valdes email appears to coercively solicit contributions to the Penelas Committee.

First, the email says that Mr. Fernandez is asking for the contribution. Thus, recipients of the

email know that the person who is ultimately responsible for making decisions regarding salaries,

bonuses, and promotions is asking them to contribute. Second, it states that Mr. Fernandez has

asked for an accounting of the individuals who do and do not contribute. As such, Mr.

14 Fernandez will know who has not complied with his request for contributions. Third, and most

significantly, it allegedly states that all physicians, large vendors, and executive level staff are

"expected" to contribute, thereby signifying that this is a requirement and not merely a request.

The Valdes email also stated, "The center administrator has been assigned to collect and submit the contributions to Ed Rubio, Director of Operations." Corporate facilitation includes collecting earmarked contributions and delivering them to the candidate. See Advisory Opinion 1986-4. For a corporation to properly collect and forward contributions earmarked to a candidate, such activity must be performed by the corporation's separate segregated fund, in

accordance with the provisions of 11 C.F.R. § 110.6; otherwise, such activity is considered

MUR 5379
Factual & Legal Analysis
CarePlus Medical Centers, Inc.

- 1 improper facilitation. 11 C.F.R. § 114.2(f)(3)(ii). CarePlus does not have a separate segregated
- 2 fund.
- 3 III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
- Therefore, there is reason to believe CarePlus Medical Centers violated 2 U.S.C.
- 5 § 441b(a) by facilitating campaign contributions to the Penelas Committee.